AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 3, 2000 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 5, 2000

SENATE BILL

No. 1562

Introduced by Senator Burton

February 18, 2000

An act to add Section 21085.5 to the Public Resources Code, relating to environmental quality.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1562, as amended, Burton. Mitigation of projects through wetlands restoration.

California Environmental **Ouality** (CEOA) Act requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or that may have a significant effect on environment. Existing law declares that it is the policy of the state that public agencies should not approve projects as or proposed there are feasible alternatives mitigation measures available that would substantially lessen the significant environmental effect of the project.

This bill would require the lead agency to limit the analysis in an environmental impact report for a specified airport project to a brief discussion of the relationship between the proposed project's impacts and the proposed acquisition, enhancement, or restoration of land if the environmental impact report identifies as a proposed mitigation measure the payment of funds to one or more public agencies to mitigate

SB 1562

3

13

the impacts of the project for which the lead agency prepared the document, and the agencies propose to use the funds for that purpose provide that if a public agency proposes to mitigate the impact of a proposed development project by restoring wetlands, the environmental impact report for the project may be limited to an analysis of the relationship between the identified significant effects on the environment of the proposed project and the restoration of the wetlands, under specified circumstances.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 21085.5 is added to the Public 1 Resources Code, to read:

21085.5. If an environmental impact report for an

- 21085.5. (a) If a public agency proposes to mitigate 5 the impact of a proposed development project by restoring wetlands, the environmental impact report for the proposed development project may be limited to an analysis of the relationship between the identified significant effects of that project and the restoration of 10 the wetlands if all of the following are true:
- (1) The proposed project is a development project 11 12 adjacent to the San Francisco Bay.
- (2) The wetlands proposed for restoration are all or 14 part of approximately 18,000 acres of salt ponds owned by 15 the Cargill Salt Company.
- (3) Funds have been provided to a public agency for 16 17 the restoration of those Cargill salt ponds and that public 18 agency indicates a willingness, in writing, to use those 19 funds to prepare and implement a restoration plan for the 20 Cargill salt ponds, as mitigation for the proposed project.
- (b) The environmental impact report described 21 22 subdivision (a) shall describe the purpose and objectives 23 of the public agency's restoration, identify restoration 24 goals, and describe how the funding will enable the public agency to satisfy those restoration goals. The identified 26 restoration goals shall conform to the goals described in

-3-SB 1562

the San Francisco Estuary Bayland Ecosystem Goals Report prepared by the National Audubon Society. The identified restoration goals and the public agency's written indication of willingness to utilize the funds shall constitute the performance standards for the project. 5 upon Proponents of the project may rely performance standards as evidence that the significant 8 effects on the environment of the project on biological resources of the San Francisco Bay that are identified in the environmental impact report for 10 proposed project will be mitigated. 12

SEC. 2. The Legislature declares that Section 21085.5 of the Public Resources Code, as added by this act, is declaratory of existing law as articulated in Rio Vista Farm Bureau Center v. County of Solano (1992) 5 16 Cal.App.4th 351 and Sacramento Old City Assn. v. City Council (1991) 229 Cal.App.3d 1011.

13

17 airport owned by a city and county and located in another county identifies as a proposed mitigation measure the 19 payment of funds to one or more public agencies to mitigate the impacts of the proposed project for which 21 the lead agency prepared the environmental impact report, and the public agencies propose to use those funds to acquire, enhance, or restore land, the lead agency may limit the analysis in the environmental impact report of the proposed acquisition, enhancement, or restoration of land to a brief discussion of the relationship between the proposed project's impacts and the proposed acquisition, enhancement, or restoration of land that the payment of 30 funds will allow, including a brief discussion of the feasibility of the proposed acquisition, enhancement, or restoration, and, to the extent known, a brief discussion of the expected impacts of the proposed acquisition, 34 enhancement, or restoration. This section does not affect the obligation of the public agencies that will carry out the proposed acquisition, enhancement, or restoration of land to comply with this division in connection with SB 1562 <u>4</u>

- 1 approving the proposed acquisition, enhancement, or 2 restoration of land.